

The Depressed Brain: An Evolutionary Systems Theory

Paul B. Badcock^{1,2,3}

E-mail: pbadcock@unimelb.edu.au; Ph: +61450211976

Christopher G. Davey^{1,3}

E-mail: c.davey@unimelb.edu.au; Ph: +61 403 058 343

Sarah Whittle^{2,4}

E-mail: swhittle@unimelb.edu.au; Ph: +61 402 597 590

Nicholas B. Allen⁵

E-mail: nallen3@uoregon.edu; Ph: +1 541 346 4075

Karl J. Friston⁶ (senior author)

E-mail: k.friston@ucl.ac.uk; Ph: +44 20 3456 7890

¹ Centre for Youth Mental Health, The University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Australia, 3052

² Melbourne School of Psychological Sciences, The University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Australia, 3010

³ Orygen, The National Centre of Excellence in Youth Mental Health, Melbourne, Australia, 3052

⁴ Melbourne Neuropsychiatry Centre, Department of Psychiatry, The University of Melbourne and Melbourne Health, Melbourne, Australia, 3053

⁵ Department of Psychology, University of Oregon, Eugene, Oregon, USA, 97403

⁶ Wellcome Trust Centre for Neuroimaging, University College London, London, UK, WC1N3BG

*Correspondence: pbadcock@unimelb.edu.au (P.B. Badcock)

ABSTRACT

1
2 Major depressive disorder is a debilitating condition characterised by diverse
3 neurocognitive and behavioural deficits. Nevertheless, our species-typical capacity for
4 depressed mood implies that it serves an adaptive function. Here, we apply an
5 interdisciplinary theory of brain function to explain depressed mood and its clinical
6 manifestations. Combining insights from the free-energy principle with evolutionary
7 theorising in psychology, we argue that depression reflects an adaptive response to
8 perceived threats of aversive social outcomes (e.g., exclusion) that minimises the
9 likelihood of surprising interpersonal exchanges (i.e., those with unpredictable
10 outcomes). We suggest that psychopathology typically arises from ineffectual
11 attempts to alleviate interpersonal difficulties and/or hyper-reactive neurobiological
12 responses to social stress (i.e., uncertainty), which often stems from early experience
13 that social uncertainty is difficult to resolve.

14

15 **Keywords:** Active Inference; Evolutionary Systems Theory; Depression; Free-
16 Energy Principle; Major Depressive Disorder

17 **An Evolutionary Systems Approach to Depression**

18 Why do we become depressed? Why are some of us particularly prone to depression?
19 And how is this best managed? To answer these questions, we require an
20 interdisciplinary approach that synthesises studies of the depressed brain with
21 psychological research on its ecological, ontogenetic and biobehavioural correlates [1,
22 2]. To this end, we apply an integrative evolutionary systems theory (EST) of human
23 brain function to explain depressed mood and its clinical manifestations. The EST in
24 question rests on two uncontroversial assumptions. The first appeals to a consensus
25 among cognitive scientists that the brain is a hierarchical, self-organising system
26 sculpted by evolution [3-5]. This hierarchy ranges from lower-order, highly
27 specialised neural subsystems responsible for sensory-motor processing; through to
28 highly integrated cortical regions that develop more gradually and underlie the
29 sophisticated, executive cognitive faculties unique to humans [see Box 1]. This calls
30 for a theory of global brain function that explains how depression emerges from
31 coordinated interactions within hierarchically integrated neuronal systems. The
32 second assumption echoes dynamic systems approaches that situate the brain within
33 the evolutionary dynamics of the brain-body-environment system [6-8]. According to
34 this view, the neural mechanisms responsible for depression can only be understood
35 by considering the broader context of human evolution, enculturation, development,
36 embodiment and behaviour.

37

38 We aim to exemplify this approach by offering an interdisciplinary hypothesis of the
39 depressed brain. Following the free-energy principle (FEP; see [5]), we first discuss
40 how depressive disorders emerge from the functioning of, and disruptions to,
41 hierarchical neural dynamics that seek to minimise uncertainty. We then integrate this

42 work with psychological research on the adaptive function of depression, along with
43 the familial, developmental and psychobiological mechanisms that often underlie it.
44 We propose that our species-typical capacity for depressed mood can be explained as
45 an evolved biobehavioural strategy that responds adaptively to adverse interpersonal
46 conditions by minimising the likelihood of unpredictable social interactions. We
47 discuss how our model builds on theories of clinical depression in the active inference
48 literature, before turning to the hierarchical neural mechanics that underlie depressed
49 mood and depressive disorder.

50

51 **Applying the Free-Energy Principle to Depression**

52 The FEP is a global theory of neural structure and function suggesting the brain can
53 be seen as a “prediction machine” that attempts to maximise the evidence for a
54 creature’s model of the world by minimising an upper limit on surprise [i.e., free-
55 energy; see Box 2]. In line with predictive coding, the FEP describes the brain as a
56 hierarchical generative model – a hierarchy of hypotheses about the world that
57 enables a reduction of surprise by minimising discrepancies between incoming
58 sensory inputs and top-down predictions [9]. Conditional expectations are thought to
59 be encoded by deep pyramidal cells (i.e., representation units) at each level of the
60 cortical hierarchy that convey predictions downward to suppress errors at the level
61 below, while prediction errors are encoded by superficial pyramidal cells (i.e., error
62 units) that convey errors forward to revise expectations at the level above [10]. This
63 allows us to minimise surprise by updating our internal models (i.e., perception).
64 Alternatively, we can selectively sample sensory data to ensure that our predictions
65 are self-fulfilling – by changing how we act upon the world to confirm our
66 expectations (i.e., active inference [11]). Thus, perception and action operate

67 synergistically to minimise prediction errors and optimise our internal representations
68 of the environment. A key corollary of this model is that our predictions are optimised
69 by evolution, development and learning. Emphasis is placed on adaptive priors –
70 inherited expectations about the way our world unfolds that have been shaped by
71 natural selection to guide action-perception cycles toward adaptive (i.e., unsurprising)
72 states [5, 12].

73

74 To date, applications of the FEP to depressive disorders have chiefly concentrated on
75 two processes, stemming from different levels of the cortical hierarchy. The first
76 relates to limbic deficits in minimising prediction error. Barrett and colleagues
77 suggest that depressive disorders arise from aberrant interoceptive predictions
78 originating from abnormalities within the (limbic) agranular visceromotor cortex,
79 which is central to emotional processing, energy regulation and allostatic responses to
80 stress [13, 14]. These abnormalities can arise from past exposure to sustained distress,
81 and generate false (interoceptive) predictions about the body's upcoming autonomic,
82 metabolic and immunological needs that chronically activate physiological stress
83 responses (e.g., dysregulation of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis and
84 pro-inflammatory states). Over time, the visceromotor systems try to minimise
85 prediction error by producing sickness behaviours (e.g., negative affect and fatigue;
86 also see [15]) that reduce energy expenditure and ultimately manifest in depression
87 [13]. Here, depression is seen as a disorder of allostasis, characterised by energy
88 dysregulation and deficits in interoceptive inference; i.e., an insensitivity to prediction
89 errors and/or a miscalibration of their precision – see glossary [14]. These deficits
90 lead to a failure to update dysfunctional internal models (e.g., cognitive rigidity),

91 perpetuating further metabolic inefficiencies and engendering the downward spiral
92 that typifies depressive illness.

93

94 The second class of models concentrates on impairments in top-down expectations of
95 reward. Checkrout [16] has described the depressed brain as a hierarchical
96 constellation of depressive beliefs, which impose a consistent negative bias in
97 predictions that manifests in anhedonic features and the down-regulation of neural
98 reward systems (e.g., dopaminergic and serotonergic dysfunction). In line with active
99 inference, these beliefs exacerbate depression by prompting the individual to actively
100 sample the environment to confirm negative predictions (e.g., learned helplessness).

101 Others have suggested that depressive disorders impair reward-approach behaviours
102 by causing a pathological underconfidence in one's predictions [17], or by distorting
103 higher-order evaluations of the self (e.g., low self-worth), disrupting social behaviour
104 by overweighting the likelihood of aversive interactions [18]. Each of these proposals
105 echo models of reinforcement learning in computational psychiatry and evolutionary
106 biology suggesting that depression emerges from successive discrepancies between
107 actual and expected reward outcomes (i.e., prediction errors), entrenching (empirical)
108 prior beliefs that rewards are unlikely which inhibit reward-approach behaviours [19,
109 20].

110

111 Taken together, the frameworks considered here suggest that depression entails
112 impairments in reward-approach systems emerging from two neurocognitive
113 processes – deficits in the predictive processing of sensory evidence; and prior beliefs
114 that negatively bias predictions. Although this perspective of depression as false
115 inference offers a cohesive, neurobiologically plausible account of the biobehavioural

116 deficits observed in depressive illness, two important questions remain. First, by
117 concentrating on depressive disorders, the models above say little about our species-
118 typical capacity for depressed or low mood. The notable exception is a formal
119 (computational) scheme that defines emotional valence in terms of the rate of change
120 in free-energy over time, with positive and negative affect tracking a decrease and
121 increase in free-energy, respectively (see [17]). In this model, negative moods enable
122 an organism to respond adaptively to unexpected changes in the world by increasing
123 the (learning) rate of evidence accumulation – overweighing recent sensory inputs
124 over prior experiences to heighten sensitivity to environmental change, thereby
125 minimising prediction error [17]. However, this does not specifically address the
126 adaptive significance of depression per se. Second, the literature to date sheds little
127 light on the ecological conditions responsible for the positive selective pressure that
128 depression appears to have [see Box 3]. If depression instantiates an adaptive prior, it
129 should minimise surprise in response to specific environmental challenges that have
130 threatened our inclusive fitness (i.e., free-energy) over evolutionary time. Identifying
131 this adaptive function is arguably central to understanding why depression occurs. To
132 address these issues, we hope to build upon the active inference literature by
133 incorporating complementary insights drawn from an evolutionary systems approach
134 to psychology.

135

136 **Insights from an Evolutionary Systems Approach to Psychology**

137 In psychology, evolutionary systems models have typically focused on the dynamic
138 interplay between evolutionary and developmental processes (e.g., [8, 21-25]), an
139 approach that has been further extended to reconcile theoretical divisions between
140 major paradigms in the field (see [4]). According to this perspective, the embodied

141 brain and behaviour emerge from selection acting on dynamic interactions between
142 the levels of causation identified by Tinbergen – adaptation, phylogeny, ontogeny,
143 and mechanism [26]. This causal hierarchy is arguably recapitulated by paradigms in
144 psychology, which concentrate differentially on four overlapping levels of
145 explanation – ultimate hypotheses for adaptive, species-typical characteristics (i.e.,
146 evolutionary psychology); epigenetic explanations for intergenerational, between-
147 group differences (i.e., evolutionary developmental biology and psychology);
148 dynamical explanations for individual similarities and differences (i.e., developmental
149 psychology); and mechanistic explanations for real-time phenomena (i.e.,
150 psychological subdisciplines such as cognitive, biological, personality, social and
151 clinical psychology) [4]. Central to EST is the need to explore how these causal levels
152 interact – evolutionary influences on neural structure and function constrain
153 individual development and learning, while effects at these more proximate levels can
154 shape the evolution of the brain [3, 27]. To explain depression then, we require a
155 multi-level hypothesis that synthesises diverse fields of psychological inquiry to
156 explain both why it is adaptive, along with how it emerges from intergenerational,
157 developmental and real-time mechanisms.

158

159 Although there are various Darwinian models of depression [28], a theme common to
160 many of these is that low mood reflects an adaptive biobehavioural strategy that
161 conserves or reallocates energy and resources in unpropitious social environments [29,
162 30]. According to this view, depressed mood states are elicited by aversive
163 interpersonal outcomes (e.g., exclusion, defeat, or loss) that indicate a critical loss of
164 control over social relationships that were critical to ancestral fitness [31]. A model
165 that incorporates influential theories in this area and shows promising conceptual

166 parallels with the FEP is the social risk hypothesis (technically, risk corresponds to
167 uncertainty and uncertainty is expected surprise or free energy). This maintains that
168 depressed mood reflects an adaptive, risk-averse approach to social interaction that
169 reduces the likelihood of further aversive outcomes by: (1) increasing our cognitive
170 sensitivity to (sensory) cues of social risk; (2) reducing our (behavioural) propensity
171 for taking social risks; and (3) initiating signalling behaviours that elicit support and
172 defuse conflict [32].

173

174 The idea that depression reflects an evolved response to adverse social conditions
175 concords with evidence that extends across Tinbergen's remaining levels of inquiry.
176 The intergenerational transmission of susceptibility to depressive disorders due to
177 deleterious social environments is widely documented [33, 34], with studies involving
178 rodents, primates, and humans showing that exposure to social stressors (e.g., low
179 maternal care and social defeat) produces potentially heritable epigenetic changes that
180 confer risk for disorder by heightening stress reactivity [35, 36]. Ontogenetically,
181 exposure to early social stress (e.g., parental loss, abuse, or neglect) is a strong
182 predictor of depressive vulnerability [37], and is thought to heighten susceptibility to
183 disorder by leading to hyperactivity of the HPA axis [38, 39] and up-regulating pro-
184 inflammatory immune responses [40]. Behavioural and neuroimaging studies further
185 suggest that the risk of depressive onset rises markedly in adolescence because of an
186 increased sensitivity to social threats in this period [41, 42]. Finally, research across
187 the sub-disciplines highlights an intimate connection between depression and the
188 social world (see [43]). For example, the precipitants of depression are typically
189 interpersonal in nature [44]; social support and belonging are key protective factors
190 [45]; and typical correlates of depression clearly exemplify negative self-other

191 relations (e.g., low self-esteem [46]). Consistent with the social risk hypothesis, there
192 are also multiple lines of evidence to suggest that low mood is associated with
193 biobehavioural changes that facilitate adaptive responses to social stress. Depressive
194 cognition is characterised by a specific, attentional bias towards socially-threatening
195 stimuli [47] and increased rumination about interpersonal problems [48], while
196 normative depressed states have been shown to increase the accuracy of social
197 inferences (e.g., depressive realism [49]) and improve social problem-solving [50].
198 Furthermore, many features of depression – such as anhedonia, a negative thinking
199 bias and social withdrawal – reduce exposure to social risks by inhibiting reward-
200 approach behaviours [51], while the signalling behaviours associated with depression
201 (e.g., reassurance-seeking and submissive behaviours) explicitly attempt to elicit
202 support and defuse potential conflict [52-54]. Notably, other studies have provided
203 direct empirical support for the social risk hypothesis itself (Badcock & Allen, 2003;
204 Badcock & Allen, 2007; Dunn, Whelton & Sharpe, 2012; Girard, Cohn, Mahoor,
205 Mavadati, & Rosenwald, 2013).

206

207 In light of such work, we suggest that the human capacity for depressed mood can be
208 explained in terms of a risk-averse adaptive prior that minimises uncertainty in the
209 social world when sensory cues indicate both a high degree of socio-environmental
210 volatility (i.e., unpredictability) and an increased probability of aversive interpersonal
211 outcomes (e.g., rejection, defeat or loss) (see Figure 1). This depressive response
212 instantiates a “better safe than sorry” strategy that minimises the likelihood of
213 unpredictable social interactions by causing adaptive changes in cognition (e.g.,
214 hypersensitivity to aversive social stimuli, a negative thinking bias and deficits in
215 responses to reward) and action (e.g., risk-averse behaviours such as social

216 withdrawal). Epigenetic and ontogenetic mechanisms arguably support this function
217 by sensitising the individual to socio-environmental volatility when developmental
218 insults indicate that the probability of aversive social interactions is high, producing
219 hyper-reactive stress response systems that heighten risk for disorder by increasing
220 the precision of social prediction errors and prompting exaggerated, pathological
221 responses to interpersonal stressors.

222

223 Notably, the exacerbation of normative depressed states into severe, dysfunctional
224 forms is also likely when depressive changes fail to alleviate social stress, creating a
225 self-perpetuating cycle arising from heightened and prolonged arousal of ineffectual
226 attempts to reduce socio-environmental volatility [32]. This, in turn, is likely to
227 chronically activate neurophysiological stress responses and leads to debilitating
228 sickness behaviours [13, 14]. As discussed above, previous applications of the FEP
229 suggest that this depressive spiral is engendered by a positive feedback loop between
230 two neurocognitive mechanisms – the increased precision of social prediction errors,
231 coupled with a negative bias affecting social predictions. Following active inference,
232 this is likely to engender ongoing depressive behaviours that seek to confirm negative
233 biases, creating a self-fulfilling prophecy (i.e., high predictability) born from mutually
234 reinforcing patterns of cognition and behaviour [16]. Here, depressed can be
235 interpreted as a maladaptive pattern of dysregulated defences – if this depressive
236 response is effective, an individual either escapes or avoids the social stressor or
237 adapts to it; if the defence fails, the individual is at risk of entering a self-perpetuating
238 dysregulated state, which falls beyond the normal range of adaptive functioning
239 (Allen & Badcock, 2003; Gilbert, 2001). Nevertheless, it should also be recognised
240 that clinical manifestations of depression can result from asocial causes that produce

241 neurobiological abnormalities typically associated with dysregulated mood (e.g., pro-
242 inflammatory immune responses induced by illness and medications; 40).

243

244 **The Depressed Brain**

245 It is widely accepted that depression emerges from bidirectional interactions between
246 hierarchically organised neural regions. Most of the theoretical work in this area
247 concentrates on two general brain systems that work in concert – a ventral affective
248 system, including subcortical regions such as the amygdala and ventral striatum; and
249 the prefrontal cortex (PFC), which modulates the reactions of the ventral affective
250 system [1]. These systems are composed of subcortical neural circuits responsible for
251 the unconscious processing of affective and social stimuli on the one hand; and on the
252 other, executive networks that regulate affective states, with medial prefrontal regions
253 playing a particularly important role in modulating visceral and behavioural responses
254 in order to adapt them to the external milieu [1].

255

256 More particularly, evidence gleaned from neuroimaging and animal studies suggests
257 that depression involves dysfunction of the “extended visceromotor network”, in
258 which the medial PFC regulates affective states by modulating visceromotor output
259 via connections with the amygdala, ventral striatum, hypothalamus, and other
260 subcortical regions [55]. Brain regions across this network regulate motivation (e.g.,
261 anhedonia and dopaminergic function) and neurobiological responses to stress, and
262 play a central role in social threat and reward processing [39, 41, 56, 57].

263 Neurodevelopmental changes in these regions throughout adolescence are also
264 thought to heighten vulnerability to disorder by increasing sensitivity to rapidly
265 changing social contexts in this period (see Box 4). Collectively, such findings fit well

266 with our proposal that depression often stems from the need to adapt to complex
267 social contexts, and manifests through the bidirectional interplay of hierarchical
268 neuronal processes.

269

270 Specifically, we speculate that the extended visceromotor system responds to
271 volatility in the social environment by increasing the precision of social prediction
272 errors, initiating changes in neuronally encoded expectations that increase attention to
273 social cues and motivate risk-averse behaviours (e.g., social withdrawal). This
274 heightened sensitivity to somatic and affective cues leads, in turn, to further avoidance
275 of interpersonal stressors. The depressive response is adaptive when changes in mood
276 state and behaviour reduce uncertainty in the face of socio-environmental change, and
277 lead to re-engagement with that environment when volatility abates (which should, at
278 least in part, be brought about by depressive behaviours; see [32]). However,
279 following the active inference literature, we suggest that the depressive response
280 becomes maladaptive when there are (neuromodulatory) failures of “precision
281 engineered” visceromotor inference – produced, for instance, by sustained social
282 distress – leading to illness behaviours which fail to respond to improvements in
283 interpersonal contexts and can often exacerbate socio-environmental stress [13, 14].
284 Neurodevelopmentally, the PFC can also potentiate vulnerability to depression by
285 underwriting the formation of distal goals that, when frustrated by rejection or failure,
286 can lead to depression by suppressing the brain’s reward system [58] and the
287 confidence in (or precision of) our beliefs about behaviour [59], thereby inhibiting
288 goal-directed behaviours.

289

290 Ultimately, our basic claim is that depression can be viewed as an adaptive faculty
291 that underwrites emotional allostasis in an increasingly prosocial and volatile world.
292 Physiologically, this faculty increases sensitivity to interpersonal, affiliative and
293 interoceptive cues. Clearly, sensitisation to stressful exteroceptive and interoceptive
294 cues also has to be predicted by the hierarchical brain, which implicates the functional
295 neuroanatomy described above. Under active inference, sensitivity to stress-related
296 cues corresponds to their precision [13, 60], implicating neuromodulatory systems
297 associated with reward, action selection and interoceptive inference [61-63].
298 Crucially, in order to act it is also necessary to attenuate the precision afforded to the
299 sensory consequences of action (i.e., we have to ignore the fact that we are not
300 currently acting). This means that an adaptive depressive response suspends sensory
301 attenuation – and action – so that we can attend to interpersonal prediction errors and
302 revise our (posterior) beliefs about our relationships with others, via perceptual
303 inference and learning. Sensory attenuation can be regarded as the complement of
304 sensory attention; i.e., attenuating or augmenting the gain (precision) afforded sensory
305 prediction errors to ignore or select sensory information, respectively. According to
306 this scheme, maladaptive forms of depression reflect a pervasive, self-maintaining
307 failure of sensory attenuation, leading to ruminations, false inference and a
308 concomitant inability to act and test these false beliefs.

309

310 Interestingly, exactly the same conclusions (namely, a failure of sensory attenuation)
311 have been drawn for a range of neuropsychiatric disorders, ranging from autism [64]
312 to schizophrenia [65]. One could ask what is specific about this mechanism in
313 depression, and respond by referring to the particular (interoceptive and affiliative)
314 modalities affected. However, perhaps the more intriguing implication is that the

315 comorbidity of depression and other disorders might arise from a common
316 pathophysiological mechanism, which can be explained in terms of false inference.

317

318 **Concluding Remarks**

319 In this opinion piece, we have endeavoured to contribute to the active inference
320 literature on mood disorder by suggesting that normative levels of depressed mood
321 instantiate an adaptive prior that minimises the likelihood of surprising interpersonal
322 interactions when faced with threats of aversive social outcomes that typically
323 compromised ancestral fitness. By extending beyond previous applications of the FEP
324 to emphasise both the adaptive function of low mood and the causal role of the social
325 ecology, we believe our model demonstrates the heuristic benefits of combining
326 active inference with insights in psychology to improve our understanding of
327 depressed mood and mood disorder. It also motivates new questions for research,
328 calling for greater integration between neuroscientific and psychological approaches
329 to explore the ways in which the neural mechanisms that underpin depression relate to
330 behaviour, development and the social world [see Outstanding Questions]. In
331 particular, the idea that depression can emerge from the need to navigate social risks
332 stands to inform theory-driven approaches in computational psychiatry, which
333 improve our understanding, prediction and treatment of mental illness by using
334 simulations and mathematical models to capture complex interactions across multiple
335 causal levels [19, 66].

336

337 That said, we do not wish to imply that depression is solely attributable to social
338 causes. In evolutionary psychology, for instance, the distinction between social and
339 non-social depressive responses is widely recognised [Durisko 2015; Gilbert 2006],

340 and as we have noted, depression can also arise from depressogenic neuroanatomical
341 abnormalities produced by influences other than unfavourable social conditions.
342 Nevertheless, our model adds to the active inference literature by emphasising the
343 importance of the social environment in explaining the aetiology and phenomenology
344 of depression. This underscores the need to develop (computational) diagnostic tools
345 that are capable of distinguishing between social and non-social forms in order to
346 inform treatment decisions.

347

348 In closing, our model also promotes clear avenues for intervention. To date,
349 proponents of the FEP have advocated treatments that directly target dysregulated
350 neural systems, such as psychopharmacological agents that act upon the
351 neurotransmitter systems that encode precision or uncertainty (e.g., serotonin and
352 dopamine [16]). They have also recommended the use of cognitive behavioural
353 therapies to disrupt the spiral of self-defeating actions typical of depression [16], or to
354 construct new prediction signals that modify the gain on prediction errors via the
355 salience network [14]. Our own model adds to this work by emphasising the need to
356 facilitate adaptive responses to social stress. This could well explain the efficacy of
357 interpersonal psychotherapy as a treatment for major depressive disorder [67], and
358 highlights the value of prevention and early intervention efforts that reduce
359 vulnerability by targeting modifiable risk factors in the social environment. Given the
360 heterogeneous nature of depression, we also recommend the development of
361 (computational) diagnostic tools capable of distinguishing between social and non-
362 social forms in order to inform treatment decisions. Finally, simply having a positive
363 and principled framework within which to understand depression – and the rationale
364 for therapeutic interventions – is likely to be helpful for those seeking treatment. Our

365 synthesis can be used to help clients understand why they have depression, and to
366 explain why, for example, it might be useful to combine interpersonal psychotherapy
367 with antidepressants.

368

369 **Acknowledgements:** We would like to thank Lucy Morrish, Jakob Hohwy, Alex
370 Fornito, Rebecca Schwarzlose and three anonymous reviewers for their valuable
371 contributions. Karl Friston is funded by the Wellcome Trust; Christopher Davey and
372 Sarah Whittle are both funded by the National Health and Medical Research Council
373 of Australia (NHMRC).

374 **Glossary**

375 **Active inference:** A corollary of the free-energy principle, which states that we
376 minimise surprise (i.e., prediction errors) by changing our predictions (i.e.,
377 perception) or by acting upon the world to elicit sensations that conform to
378 predictions (i.e., action).

379 **Adaptive prior:** A prior endowed by evolution to underwrite adaptive fitness.

380 **Association cortex:** Regions of the cerebral cortex that are not primary sensory or
381 motor projection areas, including the prefrontal cortex, and extensive parts of the
382 temporal, parietal and occipital cortices..

383 **Empirical priors:** Priors found in hierarchical models that can be learned or inferred
384 under priors from the level above.

385 **Entropy:** The uncertainty or average surprise associated with outcomes sampled from
386 a probability distribution. A distribution with low entropy means, on average, that the
387 outcome is relatively predictable.

388 **Evolutionary systems theory:** A multidisciplinary paradigm that explains dynamic,
389 evolving systems in terms of co-action between self-organisation and general
390 selection (e.g., natural selection) over time. This produces complex adaptive systems,
391 like the brain, that adapt to the environment through an autonomous process of
392 selection that recruits the outcomes of locally interacting components within that
393 system to select a subset of those components for replication or enhancement.

394 **Free-energy principle:** A generalisation of predictive coding that asserts that
395 organisms actively minimise an upper bound on surprise (i.e., free energy), which,
396 under simplifying assumptions, translates to (precision weighted) prediction error.

397 **Generative Model:** A probabilistic mapping from hidden causes in the environment
398 to observed consequences (sensory data), typically specified in terms of the likelihood
399 of observing some data (given their causes) and priors (on these causes).

400 **Interoception:** The perception and integration of autonomic, hormonal, visceral and
401 immunological (bodily) signals.

402 **Precision:** The inverse variance, volatility, or reliability of a signal. In predictive
403 coding, prediction errors are weighted by precisions that determine the relative
404 influence of bottom-up (error) and top-down (representation) signals (e.g., a high
405 precision on error signals corresponds to low confidence in top-down beliefs).
406 Dynamic precision weighting is mediated by neuromodulation and underwrites
407 psychological processes such as attentional selection and sensory attenuation.

408 **Predictive coding:** A processing scheme for inferring the likely causes of sensory
409 data by minimising prediction error. Typically, this entails a hierarchical generative
410 model (e.g., the brain) in which top-down signals convey predictions and bottom-up
411 signals convey (precision weighted) prediction errors.

412 **Prior:** The probability distribution or density on the causes of data that encode beliefs
413 about those causes prior to observing the data.

414 **Surprise:** The negative log probability of sensory experiences encountered by an
415 agent. Also known as surprisal or self-information.

416 **Visceromotor cortex:** Agranular (limbic) regions of isocortex and allocortex that
417 regulate the hormonal, immune and autonomic nervous systems, including the
418 cingulate cortex, the posterior ventral medial prefrontal cortex, the posterior
419 orbitofrontal cortex and ventral portions of the anterior insula.

420 **BOX 1: The Hierarchical Structure of the Brain**

421 In psychology, it has long been recognised that the brain entails a hierarchical
422 structure ranging from highly specialised sensorimotor systems at its lowest levels
423 through to developmentally flexible, highly integrated systems responsible for higher-
424 order executive functions [3, 4]. A hierarchical neural architecture is also emphasised
425 by predictive coding approaches in neuroscience, which explore how the brain
426 minimises prediction error via recurrent message-passing between cortical levels [9,
427 68, 69]. More recently, imaging studies in network neuroscience have provided direct
428 evidence that the brain exhibits a multiscale hierarchical organisation, with a given
429 node (e.g., network, module or sub-module) itself comprising a network of smaller
430 interacting nodes at a lower level [68, 70] (see Figure I).

431

432 Comparative work suggests that a hierarchical architecture is a hallmark of the
433 mammalian brain, progressing from highly segregated sensorimotor hierarchies
434 common to all mammals through to the cortical association areas that confer the
435 adaptive advantage of heightened cognitive control among primates [71, 72]. Again,
436 this structure is thought to exemplify the complementary relationship between
437 evolution and development – selection has canalised early sensorimotor regions that
438 serve as neurodevelopmental anchors, allowing for the progressive, activity-
439 dependent self-organisation of widely distributed association networks that lie furthest
440 from sensory patterning centres [71, 73]. This neuroplasticity enhances adaptability
441 by producing higher-order, “domain-general” faculties that are able to respond
442 flexibly to rapidly changing environments [6, 73].

443

444 It is now broadly accepted that a hierarchical neural structure is favoured by selection.
445 It enhances evolvability because deleterious changes to a single component of the
446 system are unlikely to affect the system itself, and it allows adaptive novelties to
447 emerge without disrupting global functioning [70]. Computer simulations of evolving
448 networks have also shown that selection favours a hierarchical organisation because it
449 conserves the (spatial, processing and metabolic) cost of neural connections; improves
450 problem-solving by recursively combining solutions to sub-problems; and adapts
451 more rapidly to new environments than non-hierarchical structures [74]. Finally, the
452 hierarchical brain is thought to promote “self-organised criticality”. This is a
453 dynamical state poised between completely ordered, stable cycles of activity and
454 highly complex, chaotic ones that optimises evolvability because it allows small
455 extrinsic changes to elicit large intrinsic reorganisations. The hierarchical segregation
456 of neural networks into distributed neighbourhoods has been found to stretch the
457 parameter range for self-organised criticality by allowing subcritical and supercritical
458 dynamics to co-exist simultaneously [75]. Since systems at criticality have optimal
459 information-processing capacities, a structure that extends this critical region is likely
460 to be naturally selected [76].

461 **BOX 2: The Free-Energy Principle**

462 The FEP seeks to explain how biological systems maintain their integrity by
463 occupying a constricted number of states [5]. It suggests that all organisms actively
464 reduce the entropy (i.e. disorder or dispersion) of their sensory and physical states by
465 minimising free-energy. Borrowed from statistical thermodynamics and machine
466 learning, free-energy is an information theory quantity which limits (by being greater
467 than) the entropy of a brain's sensations or sensory samples from the environment. In
468 this context, entropy (the mathematical description of uncertainty) refers to the (long-
469 term) average of surprise: a statistical concept referring to the negative log probability
470 of sensory samples encountered by an agent. This probability is also known as
471 (Bayesian) model evidence.

472

473 These principles have important implications for understanding how biotic agents
474 work. Because the repertoire of states an organism occupies is limited, the probability
475 of these states has low entropy (i.e., surprise). Thus, an organism's distal imperative
476 of maintaining functional states within physiological bounds (i.e., homeostasis)
477 translates into a proximal avoidance of surprise [5]. Surprise itself cannot be
478 evaluated; however, biological systems can minimise surprise vicariously by
479 minimising their free-energy – which roughly translates to prediction error, weighted
480 by its precision.

481

482 The FEP appeals to predictive coding by characterising the brain as a hierarchical
483 inference machine that minimises prediction error by seeking to match incoming
484 sensory inputs with top-down predictions [see Figure II]. This occurs in two ways.
485 First, we can improve our predictions by altering internal states (i.e., perception).
486 Second, we can act upon the world to confirm our predictions (i.e., action). Thus,

487 action and perception operate synergistically to optimise an organism's model of the
488 environment. Crucially, to minimise free-energy, the precision of prediction errors
489 also has to be predicted, invoking notions of attentional gain (psychologically) and
490 neuromodulation (physiologically).

491

492 The FEP also applies to the morphology, development and evolution of the brain. It
493 suggests that instead of just containing a model of the world, the brain is a model of
494 the world – a physical transcription of causal regularities in the environment that is
495 optimised by evolution. This model instantiates genetically specified (empirical) prior
496 beliefs that have minimised free-energy (i.e., maximised model evidence) over
497 evolutionary time by ensuring an organism seeks out a small number of unsurprising
498 states that are consistent with its phenotype and environment. In other words, natural
499 selection is nature's way of performing Bayesian model selection to minimise the
500 (variational) free energy of phenotypes (i.e., generative models).

501

503 **Box 4: The Adolescent Brain and Risk for Depression**

504 The brain undergoes significant maturation in adolescence, involving processes that
505 begin with puberty and continue until a young person is in their mid-to-late twenties
506 [77]. Over this period, there is a progressive increase in white matter, alongside
507 synaptic pruning and grey matter loss, which have the effect of delineating more
508 clearly defined large-scale brain networks [78]. Subcortical regions, including the
509 primary components of the reward system, undergo more rapid maturation [79], while
510 the most prolonged development is in association cortex, including prefrontal regions
511 that are implicated in social processing [78, 80].

512

513 It is now widely accepted that the functional and structural changes that accompany
514 adolescence reflect a particularly sensitive period for adapting to the social world.

515 Brain imaging studies show that adolescence is typified by significant alterations in
516 social and affective processing systems, which are thought to increase risk for mood
517 disorder by heightening sensitivity to social threats in this period [41, 80-82].

518 Coincident with these neurodevelopmental processes, there are also substantial
519 changes in the adolescent social environment. Peer relationships become increasingly
520 important, hierarchical and complex, and there is significant socio-environmental
521 volatility – friendships change frequently, and romantic relationships are typically
522 short-lived [83].

523

524 It is unsurprising, then, that the period from adolescence to early adulthood is a peak
525 time for the onset of depression [42]. During adolescence, sources of social
526 uncertainty are frequently encountered. Maturation of subcortical regions, along with
527 marked hormonal changes [82, 84], increase sensitivity to affective and self-relevant

528 social cues. Moreover, prefrontal cortical development leads, on the one hand, to
529 improved regulation of affective processes, but on the other, heightens sensitivity to
530 the nuance and complexity of interpersonal relationships [58]. For this reason,
531 increased vulnerability to depression starts in puberty but is maintained well beyond
532 adolescence.
533

534 **References**

- 535 1. Pfeifer, J.H. and Allen, N.B. (2012) Arrested development? Reconsidering dual-
536 systems models of brain function in adolescence and disorders. *Trends Cognit. Sci.*
537 16, 322-329.
- 538 2. Pfeifer, J.H. and Allen, N.B. (2016) The audacity of specificity: Moving
539 adolescent developmental neuroscience towards more powerful scientific
540 paradigms and translatable models. *Dev. Cogn. Neurosci.* 17, 131-137.
- 541 3. Badcock, P.B. (2012) Evolutionary systems theory: A unifying meta-theory of
542 psychological science. *Rev. Gen. Psychol.* 16, 10.
- 543 4. Friston, K. (2010) The free-energy principle: A unified brain theory? *Nat. Rev.*
544 *Neurosci.* 11, 127-138.
- 545 5. Badcock, P. et al. (submitted) The hierarchically mechanistic mind: An
546 evolutionary systems theory of the brain and behaviour.
- 547 6. Anderson, M.L. (2014) *After Phrenology*, MIT Press.
- 548 7. Clark, A. (2015) *Surfing Uncertainty: Prediction, Action, and the Embodied Mind*,
549 Oxford University Press.
- 550 8. Lickliter, R. and Honeycutt, H. (2003) Developmental dynamics: toward a
551 biologically plausible evolutionary psychology. *Psychol. Bull.* 129, 819-835.
- 552 9. Clark, A. (2013) Whatever next? Predictive brains, situated agents, and the future
553 of cognitive science. *Behav. Brain. Sci.* 36, 181-204.
- 554 10. Bastos, A.M. et al. (2012) Canonical microcircuits for predictive coding. *Neuron*
555 76, 695-711.
- 556 11. Friston, K.J. et al. (2010) Action and behavior: A free-energy formulation. *Biol.*
557 *Cybern.* 102, 227-260.
- 558 12. Friston, K. (2013) Life as we know it. *J. R. Soc. Interface* 10, 20130475.

- 559 13. Barrett, L.F. and Simmons, W.K. (2015) Interoceptive predictions in the brain.
560 Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 16, 419-429.
- 561 14. Barrett, L.F. et al. (2016) An active inference theory of allostasis and
562 interoception in depression. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* 371,
563 20160011.
- 564 15. Seth, A.K. and Friston, K.J. (2016) Active interoceptive inference and the
565 emotional brain. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* 371, 20160007.
- 566 16. Chekroud, A.M. (2015) Unifying treatments for depression: An application of the
567 Free Energy Principle. *Front Psychol* 6, 153.
- 568 17. Joffily, M. and Coricelli, G. (2013) Emotional valence and the free-energy
569 principle. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* 9, e1003094.
- 570 18. Moutoussis, M. et al. (2014) Bayesian inferences about the self (and others): A
571 review. *Conscious. Cogn.* 25, 67-76.
- 572 19. Adams, R.A. et al. (2016) Computational psychiatry: Towards a mathematically
573 informed understanding of mental illness. *J. Neurol. Neurosurg. Psychiatry* 87,
574 53-63.
- 575 20. Nettle, D. and Bateson, M. (2012) The evolutionary origins of mood and its
576 disorders. *Current Biology* 22, R712-R721.
- 577 21. Caporael, L.R. (2001) Evolutionary psychology: Toward a unifying theory and a
578 hybrid science. *Annu. Rev. Psychol.* 52, 607-628.
- 579 22. Geary, D.C. and Bjorklund, D.F. (2000) Evolutionary developmental psychology.
580 *Child Dev.* 71, 57-65.
- 581 23. Kenrick, D.T. et al. (2002) Dynamical evolutionary psychology: Mapping the
582 domains of the new interactionist paradigm. *Pers. Soc. Psychol. Rev.* 6, 347-356.

- 583 24. Ploeger, A. et al. (2008) Is evolutionary psychology a metatheory for psychology?
584 A discussion of four major issues in psychology from an evolutionary
585 developmental perspective. *Psychol. Inq.* 19, 1-18.
- 586 25. Frankenhuys, W.E. et al. (2013) Bridging developmental systems theory and
587 evolutionary psychology using dynamic optimization. *Dev. Sci.* 16, 584-598.
- 588 26. Tinbergen, N. (1963) On aims and methods of ethology. *Z. Tierpsychol.* 20, 410-
589 433.
- 590 27. Marshall, P.J. (2013) Coping with complexity: Developmental systems and
591 multilevel analyses in developmental psychopathology. *Dev. Psychopathol.* 25,
592 1311-1324.
- 593 28. Durisko, Z. et al. (2015) An adaptationist perspective on the etiology of
594 depression. *J. Affect. Disord.* 172, 315-323.
- 595 29. Beck, A.T. and Bredemeier, K. (2016) A unified model of depression integrating
596 clinical, cognitive, biological, and evolutionary perspectives. *Clin. Psychol. Sci.* 4,
597 596-619.
- 598 30. Trimmer, P.C. et al. (2015) Adaptive learning can result in a failure to profit from
599 good conditions: Implications for understanding depression. *Evol. Med. Public*
600 *Health* 2015, 123-135.
- 601 31. Gilbert, P. (2006) Evolution and depression: Issues and implications. *Psychol.*
602 *Med.* 36, 287-297.
- 603 32. Allen, N.B. and Badcock, P.B. (2003) The social risk hypothesis of depressed
604 mood: Evolutionary, psychosocial, and neurobiological perspectives. *Psychol.*
605 *Bull.* 129, 887-913.
- 606 33. Vialou, V. et al. (2013) Epigenetic mechanisms of depression and antidepressants
607 action. *Annu. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol.* 53, 59-87.

- 608 34. Weissman, M.M. et al. (2005) Families at high and low risk for depression: A 3-
609 generation study. *Arch. Gen. Psychiatry* 62, 29-36.
- 610 35. Sun, H. et al. (2013) Epigenetics of the depressed brain: Role of histone
611 acetylation and methylation. *Neuropsychopharmacol.* 38, 124-137.
- 612 36. Meaney, M.J. (2001) Maternal care, gene expression, and the transmission of
613 individual differences in stress reactivity across generations. *Annu. Rev. Neurosci.*
614 24, 1161-1192.
- 615 37. Heim, C. and Binder, E.B. (2012) Current research trends in early life stress and
616 depression: Review of human studies on sensitive periods, gene–environment
617 interactions, and epigenetics. *Exp. Neurol.* 233, 102-111.
- 618 38. Gold, P. (2015) The organization of the stress system and its dysregulation in
619 depressive illness. *Mol. Psychiatry* 20, 32-47.
- 620 39. De Raedt, R. and Koster, E.H. (2010) Understanding vulnerability for depression
621 from a cognitive neuroscience perspective: A reappraisal of attentional factors and
622 a new conceptual framework. *Cogn. Affect. Behav. Neurosci.* 10, 50-70.
- 623 40. Slavich, G.M. and Irwin, M.R. (2014) From stress to inflammation and major
624 depressive disorder: A social signal transduction theory of depression. *Psychol.*
625 *Bull.* 140, 774.
- 626 41. Silk, J.S. et al. (2012) Why do anxious children become depressed teenagers? The
627 role of social evaluative threat and reward processing. *Psychol. Med.* 42, 2095-
628 2107.
- 629 42. Andersen, S.L. and Teicher, M.H. (2008) Stress, sensitive periods and
630 maturational events in adolescent depression. *Trends Neurosci.* 31, 183-191.
- 631 43. Gotlib, I.H. and Hammen, C. (2014) *Handbook of Depression* (3rd edn.), Guilford
632 Press.

- 633 44. Vrshek-Schallhorn, S. et al. (2014) Refining the candidate environment
634 interpersonal stress, the serotonin transporter polymorphism, and gene-
635 environment interactions in major depression. *Clin. Psychol. Sci.* 2, 235-248.
- 636 45. Cohen, S. and Wills, T.A. (1985) Stress, social support, and the buffering
637 hypothesis. *Psychol. Bull.* 98, 310-357.
- 638 46. Hawkey, L.C. and Capitanio, J.P. (2015) Perceived social isolation, evolutionary
639 fitness and health outcomes: A lifespan approach. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B*
640 *Biol. Sci.* 370, 20140114.
- 641 47. Leppänen, J.M. (2006) Emotional information processing in mood disorders: A
642 review of behavioral and neuroimaging findings. *Curr. Opin. Psychiatry* 19, 34-39.
- 643 48. Hankin, B.L. et al. (2010) Corumination, interpersonal stress generation, and
644 internalizing symptoms: Accumulating effects and transactional influences in a
645 multiwave study of adolescents. *Dev. Psychopathol.* 22, 217-235.
- 646 49. Moore, M.T. and Fresco, D.M. (2012) Depressive realism: A meta-analytic
647 review. *Clin. Psychol. Rev.* 32, 496-509.
- 648 50. Forgas, J.P. (2016) Can sadness be good for you? *Aust. Psychol.*
649 DOI: 10.1111/ap.12230
- 650 51. Pizzagalli, D.A. (2014) Depression, stress, and anhedonia: Toward a synthesis and
651 integrated model. *Annu. Rev. Clin. Psychol.* 10, 393.
- 652 52. Hagen, E.H. (2011) Evolutionary theories of depression: A critical review. *Can. J.*
653 *Psychiatry* 56, 716-726.
- 654 53. Hames, J.L. et al. (2013) Interpersonal processes in depression. *Annu. Rev. Clin.*
655 *Psychol.* 9, 355-377.
- 656 54. Sloman, L. and Gilbert, P. (2000) *Subordination and Defeat: An Evolutionary*
657 *approach to Mood Disorders and Their Therapy*, Routledge.

- 658 55. Price, J.L. and Drevets, W.C. (2012) Neural circuits underlying the
659 pathophysiology of mood disorders. *Trends Cogn. Sci.* 16, 61-71.
- 660 56. Kupferberg, A. et al. (2016) Social functioning in major depressive disorder.
661 *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* 69, 313-332.
- 662 57. Rushworth, M.F. et al. (2013) Are there specialized circuits for social cognition
663 and are they unique to humans? *Curr. Opin. Neurobiol.* 23, 436-442.
- 664 58. Davey, C.G. et al. (2008) The emergence of depression in adolescence:
665 Development of the prefrontal cortex and the representation of reward. *Neurosci.*
666 *Biobehav. Rev.* 32, 1-19.
- 667 59. Friston, K. et al. (2015) Active inference and epistemic value. *Cogn. Neurosci.*, 1-
668 28.
- 669 60. Friston, K. et al. (2014) The anatomy of choice: Dopamine and decision-making.
670 *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* 369, 20130481.
- 671 61. Paulus, M.P. and Stein, M.B. (2006) An insular view of anxiety. *Biol. Psychiatry*
672 60, 383-387.
- 673 62. Pezzulo, G. et al. (2015) Active Inference, homeostatic regulation and adaptive
674 behavioural control. *Prog. Neurobiol.* 134, 17-35.
- 675 63. Waselus, M. et al. (2011) Collateralized dorsal raphe nucleus projections: A
676 mechanism for the integration of diverse functions during stress. *J. Chem.*
677 *Neuroanat.* 41, 266-280.
- 678 64. Pellicano, E. and Burr, D. (2012) When the world becomes 'too real': A Bayesian
679 explanation of autistic perception. *Trends Cogn. Sci.* 16, 504-510.
- 680 65. Fletcher, P.C. and Frith, C.D. (2009) Perceiving is believing: A Bayesian
681 approach to explaining the positive symptoms of schizophrenia. *Nat. Rev.*
682 *Neurosci.* 10, 48-58.

- 683 66. Friston, K.J. et al. (2014) Computational psychiatry: The brain as a phantastic
684 organ. *Lancet Psychiatry* 1, 148-158.
- 685 67. Cuijpers, P. et al. (2011) Interpersonal psychotherapy for depression: A meta-
686 analysis. *Am. J. Psychiatry* 168, 581-592.
- 687 68. Park, H.-J. and Friston, K. (2013) Structural and functional brain networks: from
688 connections to cognition. *Sci.* 342, 1238411.
- 689 69. Hohwy, J. (2013) *The Predictive Mind*, Oxford University Press.
- 690 70. Sporns, O. and Betzel, R.F. (2016) Modular brain networks. *Annu. Rev. Psychol.*
691 67, 613-640.
- 692 71. Buckner, R.L. and Krienen, F.M. (2013) The evolution of distributed association
693 networks in the human brain. *Trends Cogn. Sci.* 17, 648-665.
- 694 72. Finlay, B.L. and Uchiyama, R. (2015) Developmental mechanisms channeling
695 cortical evolution. *Trends Neurosci.* 38, 69-76.
- 696 73. Anderson, M.L. and Finlay, B.L. (2014) Allocating structure to function: The
697 strong links between neuroplasticity and natural selection. *Front. Hum. Neurosci.*
698 7, 918.
- 699 74. Mengistu, H. et al. (2016) The evolutionary origins of hierarchy. *PLOS Comput.*
700 *Biol.* 12, e1004829.
- 701 75. Hilgetag, C.C. and Hütt, M.-T. (2014) Hierarchical modular brain connectivity is
702 a stretch for criticality. *Trends Cogn. Sci.* 18, 114-115.
- 703 76. Hesse, J. and Gross, T. (2014) Self-organized criticality as a fundamental property
704 of neural systems. *Front. Syst. Neurosci.* 8, 46-59.
- 705 77. Sowell, E.R. et al. (2003) Mapping cortical change across the human life span.
706 *Nat. Neurosci.* 6, 309-315.

- 707 78. Blakemore, S.-J. (2012) Imaging brain development: the adolescent brain.
708 Neuroimage 61, 397-406.
- 709 79. Spear, L.P. (2000) The adolescent brain and age-related behavioral manifestations.
710 Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev. 24, 417-463.
- 711 80. Fuhrmann, D. et al. (2015) Adolescence as a sensitive period of brain
712 development. Trends Cogn. Sci. 19, 558-566.
- 713 81. Blakemore, S.-J. and Mills, K.L. (2014) Is adolescence a sensitive period for
714 sociocultural processing? Annu. Rev. Psychol. 65, 187-207.
- 715 82. Crone, E.A. and Dahl, R.E. (2012) Understanding adolescence as a period of
716 social–affective engagement and goal flexibility. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 13, 636-650.
- 717 83. Brown, B.B. and Larson, J. (2009) Peer relationships in adolescence. In Handbook
718 of Adolescent Psychology (3rd edn) (Lerner, R.M. and Steinberg, L. eds), pp. 74-
719 103, John Wiley & Sons.
- 720 84. Sisk, C.L. and Zehr, J.L. (2005) Pubertal hormones organize the adolescent brain
721 and behavior. Front. Neuroendocrinol. 26, 163-174.
- 722 85.
- 723 1 Pfeifer, J.H. and Allen, N.B. (2012) Arrested development? Reconsidering dual-
724 systems models of brain function in adolescence and disorders. *Trends in*
725 *cognitive sciences* 16, 322-329
- 726 2 Pfeifer, J.H. and Allen, N.B. (2016) The audacity of specificity: Moving
727 adolescent developmental neuroscience towards more powerful scientific
728 paradigms and translatable models. *Developmental cognitive neuroscience* 17,
729 131-137
- 730 3 Badcock, P., et al. (submitted) The Hierarchically Mechanistic Mind: An
731 evolutionary systems theory of the brain and behaviour. .
- 732 4 Badcock, P.B. (2012) Evolutionary systems theory: A unifying meta-theory of
733 psychological science. *Review of General Psychology* 16, 10
- 734 5 Friston, K. (2010) The free-energy principle: a unified brain theory? *Nature*
735 *Reviews Neuroscience* 11, 127-138
- 736 6 Anderson, M.L. (2014) *After phrenology*. MIT Press
- 737 7 Clark, A. (2015) *Surfing uncertainty: Prediction, action, and the embodied mind*.
738 Oxford University Press
- 739 8 Lickliter, R. and Honeycutt, H. (2003) Developmental dynamics: toward a
740 biologically plausible evolutionary psychology. *Psychological bulletin* 129, 819

741 9 Clark, A. (2013) Whatever next? Predictive brains, situated agents, and the
742 future of cognitive science. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences* 36, 181-204
743 10 Bastos, A.M., *et al.* (2012) Canonical microcircuits for predictive coding.
744 *Neuron* 76, 695-711
745 11 Friston, K.J., *et al.* (2010) Action and behavior: a free-energy formulation.
746 *Biological cybernetics* 102, 227-260
747 12 Friston, K. (2013) Life as we know it. *Journal of the Royal Society Interface* 10,
748 20130475
749 13 Barrett, L.F. and Simmons, W.K. (2015) Interoceptive predictions in the brain.
750 *Nature Reviews Neuroscience* 16, 419-429
751 14 Barrett, L.F., *et al.* (2016) An active inference theory of allostasis and
752 interoception in depression. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* 371, 20160011
753 15 Seth, A.K. and Friston, K.J. (2016) Active interoceptive inference and the
754 emotional brain. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* 371, 20160007
755 16 Chekroud, A.M. (2015) Unifying treatments for depression: an application of
756 the Free Energy Principle. *Frontiers in psychology* 6
757 17 Joffily, M. and Coricelli, G. (2013) Emotional valence and the free-energy
758 principle. *PLoS Comput Biol* 9, e1003094
759 18 Moutoussis, M., *et al.* (2014) Bayesian inferences about the self (and others):
760 A review. *Consciousness and cognition* 25, 67-76
761 19 Adams, R.A., *et al.* (2016) Computational Psychiatry: towards a
762 mathematically informed understanding of mental illness. *Journal of Neurology,*
763 *Neurosurgery & Psychiatry* 87, 53-63
764 20 Nettle, D. and Bateson, M. (2012) The evolutionary origins of mood and its
765 disorders. *Current Biology* 22, R712-R721
766 21 Caporael, L.R. (2001) Evolutionary psychology: Toward a unifying theory and
767 a hybrid science. *Annual review of psychology* 52, 607-628
768 22 Geary, D.C. and Bjorklund, D.F. (2000) Evolutionary developmental
769 psychology. *Child development* 71, 57-65
770 23 Kenrick, D.T., *et al.* (2002) Dynamical evolutionary psychology: Mapping the
771 domains of the new interactionist paradigm. *Personality and Social Psychology*
772 *Review* 6, 347-356
773 24 Ploeger, A., *et al.* (2008) Is evolutionary psychology a metatheory for
774 psychology? A discussion of four major issues in psychology from an
775 evolutionary developmental perspective. *Psychological Inquiry* 19, 1-18
776 25 Frankenhuys, W.E., *et al.* (2013) Bridging developmental systems theory and
777 evolutionary psychology using dynamic optimization. *Developmental Science* 16,
778 584-598
779 26 Tinbergen, N. (1963) On aims and methods of ethology. *Zeitschrift für*
780 *Tierpsychologie* 20, 410-433
781 27 Marshall, P.J. (2013) Coping with complexity: Developmental systems and
782 multilevel analyses in developmental psychopathology. *Development and*
783 *psychopathology* 25, 1311-1324
784 28 Durisko, Z., *et al.* (2015) An adaptationist perspective on the etiology of
785 depression. *Journal of affective disorders* 172, 315-323
786 29 Beck, A.T. and Bredemeier, K. (2016) A unified model of depression
787 integrating clinical, cognitive, biological, and evolutionary perspectives. *Clinical*
788 *Psychological Science*, 2167702616628523

789 30 Trimmer, P.C., *et al.* (2015) Adaptive learning can result in a failure to profit
790 from good conditions: implications for understanding depression. *Evolution,*
791 *medicine, and public health* 2015, 123-135

792 31 Gilbert, P. (2006) Evolution and depression: issues and implications.
793 *Psychological medicine* 36, 287-297

794 32 Allen, N.B. and Badcock, P.B. (2003) The social risk hypothesis of depressed
795 mood: evolutionary, psychosocial, and neurobiological perspectives.
796 *Psychological bulletin* 129, 887

797 33 Vialou, V., *et al.* (2013) Epigenetic mechanisms of depression and
798 antidepressants action. *Annual review of pharmacology and toxicology* 53, 59

799 34 Weissman, M.M., *et al.* (2005) Families at high and low risk for depression: a
800 3-generation study. *Archives of general psychiatry* 62, 29-36

801 35 Sun, H., *et al.* (2013) Epigenetics of the depressed brain: role of histone
802 acetylation and methylation. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 38, 124-137

803 36 Meaney, M.J. (2001) Maternal care, gene expression, and the transmission of
804 individual differences in stress reactivity across generations. *Annual review of*
805 *neuroscience* 24, 1161-1192

806 37 Heim, C. and Binder, E.B. (2012) Current research trends in early life stress
807 and depression: Review of human studies on sensitive periods, gene-
808 environment interactions, and epigenetics. *Experimental neurology* 233, 102-111

809 38 Gold, P. (2015) The organization of the stress system and its dysregulation in
810 depressive illness. *Molecular psychiatry* 20, 32-47

811 39 De Raedt, R. and Koster, E.H. (2010) Understanding vulnerability for
812 depression from a cognitive neuroscience perspective: A reappraisal of
813 attentional factors and a new conceptual framework. *Cognitive, Affective, &*
814 *Behavioral Neuroscience* 10, 50-70

815 40 Slavich, G.M. and Irwin, M.R. (2014) From stress to inflammation and major
816 depressive disorder: A social signal transduction theory of depression.
817 *Psychological bulletin* 140, 774

818 41 Silk, J.S., *et al.* (2012) Why do anxious children become depressed teenagers?
819 The role of social evaluative threat and reward processing. *Psychological*
820 *medicine* 42, 2095-2107

821 42 Andersen, S.L. and Teicher, M.H. (2008) Stress, sensitive periods and
822 maturational events in adolescent depression. *Trends in neurosciences* 31, 183-
823 191

824 43 Gotlib, I.H. and Hammen, C. (2014) *Handbook of depression (3rd edn.)*. Guilford
825 Press

826 44 Vrshek-Schallhorn, S., *et al.* (2014) Refining the candidate environment
827 interpersonal stress, the serotonin transporter polymorphism, and gene-
828 environment interactions in major depression. *Clinical Psychological Science* 2,
829 235-248

830 45 Cohen, S. and Wills, T.A. (1985) Stress, social support, and the buffering
831 hypothesis. *Psychological bulletin* 98, 310

832 46 Hawkey, L.C. and Capitano, J.P. (2015) Perceived social isolation,
833 evolutionary fitness and health outcomes: a lifespan approach. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc.*
834 *B* 370, 20140114

835 47 Leppänen, J.M. (2006) Emotional information processing in mood disorders: a
836 review of behavioral and neuroimaging findings. *Current opinion in psychiatry* 19,
837 34-39

838 48 Hankin, B.L., *et al.* (2010) Corumination, interpersonal stress generation, and
839 internalizing symptoms: Accumulating effects and transactional influences in a
840 multiwave study of adolescents. *Development and psychopathology* 22, 217-235
841 49 Moore, M.T. and Fresco, D.M. (2012) Depressive realism: a meta-analytic
842 review. *Clinical psychology review* 32, 496-509
843 50 Forgas, J.P. (2016) Can Sadness Be Good for You? *Australian Psychologist*
844 51 Pizzagalli, D.A. (2014) Depression, stress, and anhedonia: toward a synthesis
845 and integrated model. *Annual review of clinical psychology* 10, 393
846 52 Hagen, E.H. (2011) Evolutionary theories of depression: a critical review. *The*
847 *Canadian Journal of Psychiatry* 56, 716-726
848 53 Hames, J.L., *et al.* (2013) Interpersonal processes in depression. *Annual review*
849 *of clinical psychology* 9, 355-377
850 54 Sloman, L. and Gilbert, P. (2000) *Subordination and defeat: An evolutionary*
851 *approach to mood disorders and their therapy*. Routledge
852 55 Price, J.L. and Drevets, W.C. (2012) Neural circuits underlying the
853 pathophysiology of mood disorders. *Trends in cognitive sciences* 16, 61-71
854 56 Kupferberg, A., *et al.* (2016) Social functioning in major depressive disorder.
855 *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews* 69, 313-332
856 57 Rushworth, M.F., *et al.* (2013) Are there specialized circuits for social
857 cognition and are they unique to humans? *Current opinion in neurobiology* 23,
858 436-442
859 58 Davey, C.G., *et al.* (2008) The emergence of depression in adolescence:
860 Development of the prefrontal cortex and the representation of reward.
861 *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews* 32, 1-19
862 59 Friston, K., *et al.* (2015) Active inference and epistemic value. *Cogn Neurosci*,
863 1-28
864 60 Friston, K., *et al.* (2014) The anatomy of choice: dopamine and decision-
865 making. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* 369, 20130481
866 61 Paulus, M.P. and Stein, M.B. (2006) An insular view of anxiety. *Biological*
867 *psychiatry* 60, 383-387
868 62 Pezzulo, G., *et al.* (2015) Active Inference, homeostatic regulation and
869 adaptive behavioural control. *Progress in neurobiology* 134, 17-35
870 63 Waselus, M., *et al.* (2011) Collateralized dorsal raphe nucleus projections: a
871 mechanism for the integration of diverse functions during stress. *Journal of*
872 *chemical neuroanatomy* 41, 266-280
873 64 Pellicano, E. and Burr, D. (2012) When the world becomes 'too real': a
874 Bayesian explanation of autistic perception. *Trends in cognitive sciences* 16, 504-
875 510
876 65 Fletcher, P.C. and Frith, C.D. (2009) Perceiving is believing: a Bayesian
877 approach to explaining the positive symptoms of schizophrenia. *Nat Rev Neurosci*.
878 10, 48-58
879 66 Friston, K.J., *et al.* (2014) Computational psychiatry: the brain as a phantastic
880 organ. *The Lancet Psychiatry* 1, 148-158
881 67 Cuijpers, P., *et al.* (2011) Interpersonal psychotherapy for depression: a meta-
882 analysis. *American Journal of Psychiatry* 168, 581-592
883 68 Park, H.-J. and Friston, K. (2013) Structural and functional brain networks:
884 from connections to cognition. *Science* 342, 1238411
885 69 Hohwy, J. (2013) *The predictive mind*. Oxford University Press

886 70 Sporns, O. and Betzel, R.F. (2016) Modular brain networks. *Annual review of*
887 *psychology* 67, 613-640
888 71 Buckner, R.L. and Krienen, F.M. (2013) The evolution of distributed
889 association networks in the human brain. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences* 17, 648-
890 665
891 72 Finlay, B.L. and Uchiyama, R. (2015) Developmental mechanisms channeling
892 cortical evolution. *Trends in neurosciences* 38, 69-76
893 73 Anderson, M.L. and Finlay, B.L. (2014) Allocating structure to function: the
894 strong links between neuroplasticity and natural selection. *Frontiers in human*
895 *neuroscience* 7, 918
896 74 Mengistu, H., *et al.* (2016) The evolutionary origins of hierarchy. *PLOS Comput*
897 *Biol* 12, e1004829
898 75 Hilgetag, C.C. and Hütt, M.-T. (2014) Hierarchical modular brain connectivity
899 is a stretch for criticality. *Trends in cognitive sciences* 18, 114-115
900 76 Hesse, J. and Gross, T. (2014) Self-organized criticality as a fundamental
901 property of neural systems. *Frontiers in Systems Neuroscience* 8, 46-59
902 77 Sowell, E.R., *et al.* (2003) Mapping cortical change across the human life span.
903 *Nature neuroscience* 6, 309-315
904 78 Blakemore, S.-J. (2012) Imaging brain development: the adolescent brain.
905 *Neuroimage* 61, 397-406
906 79 Spear, L.P. (2000) The adolescent brain and age-related behavioral
907 manifestations. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews* 24, 417-463
908 80 Fuhrmann, D., *et al.* (2015) Adolescence as a sensitive period of brain
909 development. *Trends in cognitive sciences* 19, 558-566
910 81 Blakemore, S.-J. and Mills, K.L. (2014) Is adolescence a sensitive period for
911 sociocultural processing? *Annual review of psychology* 65, 187-207
912 82 Crone, E.A. and Dahl, R.E. (2012) Understanding adolescence as a period of
913 social-affective engagement and goal flexibility. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience* 13,
914 636-650
915 83 Brown, B.B. and Larson, J. (2009) Peer relationships in adolescence. In
916 *Handbook of Adolescent Psychology*. (Lerner, R.M. and Steinberg, L., eds), pp. 74-
917 103, John Wiley & Sons
918 84 Sisk, C.L. and Zehr, J.L. (2005) Pubertal hormones organize the adolescent
919 brain and behavior. *Frontiers in neuroendocrinology* 26, 163-174
920 86.